

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 LHF PRODUCTIONS, INC,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DOE 1, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.  
15

Case No. C16-551 RSM

ORDER FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT

16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff LHF Productions, Inc.’s (“LHF”)  
17 Second Motion for Order to Show Cause and for Sanctions. Dkt. #78. For the reasons  
18 discussed herein the Court ORDERS the entry of default against Defendant Patricia Holmes.

19 On March 22, 2017, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause directing Ms. Holmes to  
20 explain why she should not be ordered to participate in the parties’ required Rule 26(f)  
21 conference and Joint Status Report preparation. Dkt. #77 at 1. Ms. Holmes was also asked to  
22 explain why she should not be sanctioned for failing to meet her Rule 26(f) obligation. *Id.* As  
23 of the date of this Order, Ms. Holmes has not complied with the Court’s request. LHF now  
24 seeks the imposition of sanctions, an entry of default, and an award of attorney fees and costs  
25 against Ms. Holmes. Dkt. #78 at 1-2.  
26  
27  
28

1 Because Ms. Holmes has not responded to the Court's Order to Show Cause, the Court  
2 DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court to enter default against Ms. Holmes. The entry of default  
3 against Ms. Holmes is an appropriate sanction for her failure to respond. LHF's request for an  
4 award of attorney fees and costs will be considered by the Court when LHF files its motion for  
5 default judgment.  
6

7 DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2017.  
8

9 

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28